

CANNABIS IN COMBAT SPORTS

POSITION STATEMENT OF THE ASSOCIATION OF RINGSIDE PHYSICIANS

AUTHORS: Samuel J. Stellpflug, MD, FAACT, FACEP, FACMT; Andrew Stolbach, MD, MPH, FAACT, FACEP, FACMT; Joe Ghorayeb, DC, MHA; Erik Magraken, JD; Eric Twohey, MD; Jeff Lapoint, DO; Kevin deWeber MD, FAMSSM, FAAFP, FACSM

APPROVING DIRECTORS: Dr. John Neidecker (President), Dr. Louis Durkin (Vice President), Dr. Nitin Sethi (Secretary), Dr. Edward Amores (Treasurer), Dr. Don Muzzi (Past President), Dr. Kevin deWeber, Dr. Junaid Munshi, Dr. Warren Wang, Dr. Randa Bascharon, Dr. Guillem Gonzalez-Lomas, Dr. Damon Zavala, Dr. Andrea Hill, Dr. Carlo Guevara

DISCLAIMER: This Position Paper is being considered for publication in a peer-reviewed journal.

Abstract and Position Statement

Based on the available body of scientific evidence and with the goals of promoting safety of combat sports athletes and striving for the advancement of clean sport, the Association of Ringside Physicians recommends the following regarding cannabis:

- Use of marijuana or synthetic cannabinoids by combat sports athletes is discouraged due to unproven benefits and many known adverse effects. Acute use can impair cognition and complex motor function, which likely leads to reduced performance in combat sports. Chronic use can increase risk for heart and lung disease, several cancers, schizophrenia, and can reduce testosterone in men and impair fertility. Benefits from cannabis in most contexts, including athletic performance, have not been proven.
- Use of topical purified CBD is neither encouraged nor discouraged.
- Since acute cannabis intoxication can impair complex cognitive and motor function, any athlete suspected of acute intoxication at the time of competition—based on clinical judgment—should be banned from that competition.

- Wide-scale regulation of cannabis based on quantitative testing has limited usefulness in combat sports, for the following reasons:
 - Cannabis is not ergogenic and is likely ergolytic.
 - Concentrations in body fluids correlate poorly with clinical effects and timing of use.
 - Access to testing resources varies widely across sporting organizations.

Introduction

Cannabis sativa, grown for grain, recreational, medical, and ritual purposes, is among the oldest cultivated plants in the world. There is evidence of burning cannabis for funeral ritual activities in western China by at least 2,500 years ago.¹ The substance then made its way around the world from China to India, North Africa, and Europe by around 500 A.D.²⁻⁴ The term “cannabis” can be used to refer to parts of the plant (usually excluding hemp or fiber) or drug produced from the plant. Cannabis-related products have become a topic of frequent discussion in the context of athletics, and specifically within combat sports. Use of cannabis is common in both the general and

athletic populations. Legal restrictions regarding cannabis consumption have been softened significantly in recent years, prompting increased use and an increase in clinical trials examining the safety of cannabis use and its utility and efficacy for a variety of clinical conditions. This has prompted sports governing bodies to reconsider their own regulations. There are some proposed therapeutic indications, with varying degrees of literature support. To date, there have been no written consensus statements regarding the benefits and/or harms of cannabis use among sports athletes. This review summarizes the purported indications for cannabis use, along with analysis of the ergogenic and ergolytic potential, the effects of acute and chronic exposure, testing, and regulatory oversight of cannabis use in combat sports.

Biochemistry and Pharmacology of Cannabis

Cannabis is a single species with diverse varieties (including indica, ruderalis, and more). The plant contains over 500 chemical compounds, including more than 100 with a C₂₁ terpenophenolic skeleton known as phytocannabinoids, or simply cannabinoids.⁵ These are chemically distinct from endogenous cannabinoids (natural ligands of cannabinoid receptors) and synthetic cannabinoids (a diverse group of chemicals designed as cannabinoid receptor agonists, and are not discussed here). The most used and studied cannabinoids for their potential therapeutic applications are delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and cannabidiol (CBD). Other cannabinoids of note include cannabigerols, cannabichromenes, cannabinodiol, cannabielsoins, cannabinols, cannabitriols, and others.⁵

There are three main phenotypes of cannabis with varying degrees of the physiologic effects discussed below. Phenotype I (drug-type) contains high concentrations of THC, with some CBD. Phenotype II (intermediate-type) contains a high concentration of CBD with some THC. Phenotype III (hemp or fiber-type) contains very low concentration of THC.⁵

THC, the most psychoactive of the principal constituents of cannabis, is used primarily for its relaxing and euphoric effects. THC is found throughout the plant, but the highest concentration is found on trichomes, small resin glands that cover the plant but are focused on female plants on the flower (“buds”). The psychoactivity of a plant is commonly measured by concentration of THC on the inflorescence (leaves and buds) which is influenced by growth stage, as well as genetic and environmental factors. Psychoactivity of THC is mediated by activity at cannabinoid receptor 1 (CB₁), a G-protein-coupled receptor predominantly found in the brain and central nervous system.⁶ Smoking is the most common method of consumption, but there are a variety of other methods used as well. This includes, but not limited to, vaporizing, ingesting, and applying topically.

CBD is considered to be minimally psychoactive due to the location of its receptors and mechanisms of action.⁷ CBD has diverse molecular targets. CBD has low affinity for CB₁ and CB₂ receptors and acts as an inverse agonist, modulating some of THC effects. CB₂ receptors appear to be predominantly expressed in immune cells. CBD also has activity at serotonin 1a, transient receptor potential channels, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors, and others.⁶ CBD is present to the extent of <1% in most high THC grades of marijuana but up to 20% in specially-grown CBD cultivars.⁸

Epidemiology of Cannabis Use in Combat Sports and Other Sports

Globally, cannabis is one of the most commonly used intoxicating drugs, and among athletes the prevalence of use is second only to alcohol.⁹ According to the 2017 United Nations World Drug Report, prevalence worldwide is estimated to be 3.8%, while up to 18% of Americans used it at least once in 2019.¹⁰

Cannabis is capable of inducing psychological effects on mood, perception, and cognition, as well as somatic effects to the cardiovascular, respiratory, digestive, immune, neurologic and en-

doocrine systems.^{11,12} Like the general population, the majority of athletes report using cannabis for social reasons;¹³ however, a significant percentage of athletes used it to improve sports performance via its perceived benefits on pain management, recovery, sleep promotion and reducing anxiety, among other things.¹⁴⁻¹⁷ While the ergolytic versus ergogenic benefits have been hotly debated over the years,^{18,19} cannabis has been on the World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA) prohibited list since 2004 due to it fulfilling the latter two of the three criteria: potential to enhance sport performance, actual or potential health risk to the athlete, violating spirit of the sport.^{20,21}

In a survey of around 23,000 NCAA athletes, self-reported use of marijuana among student athletes was 25%. While the majority (77%) reported using it for social reasons, many used it for other reasons as well including to aid in sleep (26%), anxiety/depression (22%), and pain (19%). Wrestling was among the higher users of marijuana, with 29% of wrestlers reporting using marijuana.¹³ This is similar to what was found in the systematic review performed by Docter et al, which found 23.4% of elite and university athletes report using marijuana in the past year.²² Meanwhile, in a large cohort of elite 14-18 year old German Olympic athletes, 9% of which were weight dependent athletes (boxing, wrestling, taekwondo, weightlifting, judo), estimated prevalence by self-report was found to be around 3%.²³

In an analysis of multiple sports doping control tests from 2014 to 2017 by WADA, athletes in boxing and wrestling had greater than 2% of their samples result with adverse analytical findings, which was second only to weightlifting. Out of the total samples taken, cannabinoids were present in 0.11% (boxing), 0.05% (wrestling), and 0.03% (judo) which accounted for 5.4%, 2.5%, and 2.9% of adverse analytical findings, respectively.²⁴ Looking at 2,624 samples representing 1,069 Ultimate Fighting Championships (UFC) mixed martial arts athletes from 2015-2019, a total of 209 adverse findings were found resulting in 102 anti-doping rule violations (ADRV) committed by 93 (8.7%) of the athletes. Five (4.9%) of the ADRVs were from

testing positive for cannabinoids. Of important note, these represent athletes that testing positive in-competition as USADA does not ban cannabinoid use out of competition.²⁵ Similar results have been seen in Polish athletes, with boxing and wrestling being among the highest disciplines in terms of testing positive for THC in urine at 4.9% and 3%, respectively.²⁶

Accurately estimating prevalence of cannabis use in athletes has shown to be difficult for several reasons. Athletes may underreport their cannabis use due to fear of retribution since cannabis is still illegal in many parts of the world and considered a banned substance by governing sport bodies. In a study done by Thevis et al, 9.8% of urine samples tested positive for THC metabolites in a sample of sports science students who practiced sports outside of class despite no one reporting marijuana use.²⁷ Intermittent or sporadic usage may not be captured with lab testing. Additionally there are many sociocultural and personal factors that go into using cannabis. Geographical location, legislation, age, sex, race, type of sport, in-season versus offseason, and level of sport have been identified to impact prevalence of cannabis use.

Therapeutic Cannabis Use

Cannabis has wide ranging effects on the body that make it an intriguing potential therapeutic substance for several different disease processes. Most studies looking into the benefits of cannabis to date are small and of low quality; however, there are some medical conditions for which the FDA has approved the use of cannabis-derived and synthetic cannabis-related drug products including seizures associated with Lennox-Gastaut or Dravet syndrome, nausea associated with cancer chemotherapy, and for the treatment of anorexia associated with weight loss in AIDS patients.²⁸⁻³⁰ In addition to this, a combination of THC and CBD is also approved for MS-associated spasticity in several countries. CBD, in part due to it being a likely minimally psychogenic active component of cannabis, has been studied and shown some potential to treat a wide range of clinical conditions, however, is not currently FDA approved. These

include epilepsy,³¹ irritability and behavioral problems in autism,³² positive and negative symptoms in schizophrenia,³³ anxiety and depression,³⁴ Alzheimer's and Parkinson's disease,^{35,36} and sleep disorders, among other things.

Cannabis acts via modulating the body's endocannabinoid system (ECS), a system with a significant role in modulation of pain and inflammation. This, along with the growing social acceptance of cannabis use across the United States, may explain why athletes may gravitate towards using cannabis for a variety of ailments. In fact, in a survey of NCAA collegiate athletes, 19% of athletes who used cannabis said they used it for pain management.¹³ Among MMA fighters, marijuana use was common, with users citing its ability to help with recovery from training, sleep, pain, and stress management.³⁷ While limited, there is some evidence that cannabis can improve perception of pain acutely in a capsaicin-induced localized intradermal pain model at moderate doses.³⁸ Studies for cannabis use in chronic pain are more robust and have shown moderate pain reduction and opioid sparing effects in several different patient populations including those with cancer and non-cancer related pain, as well as neuropathic pain.³⁹⁻⁴¹ CBD use has been linked to decreased inflammatory marker IL-6 and improvement in sleep at higher doses,¹⁷ which hypothetically may help athletes recover from training.^{16,42,43} While it has not been directly studied in the setting of sports-related anxiety, CBD has been shown to have anxiolytic effects under "stress inducing" conditions in both healthy participants and those with social anxiety disorder.¹⁷ Some studies have even postulated that cannabis may play a role in recovery from concussion given the ECS role in traumatic brain injury.^{16,17,44}

Effects of Cannabis

Cannabis use can have acute and chronic impacts. The acute effects described here are those most applicable to athletic performance: cognitive and motor functioning, ergogenic versus ergolytic impact, sleep alteration, and pain modulation. Chronic cannabis exposure has been associated with a range of effects on bodily structure and

function. Chronic-use data are conflicting at times due to the lack of large-scale, long-term studies and confounders, but some findings are certainly consistent.

Signs and Symptoms of Acute Intoxication

The clinical evaluation for acute intoxication after use of cannabis varies based on timing of use, amount of use, route of administration, and individual differences in expressing physiologic effects of the drug. This variability can make definitive determination of intoxication difficult. This difficulty can be confounded further with concomitant use of other substances with similar or conflicting physiologic impact, especially substances impacting cognition. There are variable psychological effects of cannabis, but users commonly experience a feeling of relaxation (although some experience anxiousness), perceptual alteration, a feeling of well-being, and increased appetite.^{45,46} There are some measurable physiologic effects, notably slight increase in heart rate and blood pressure. The effects on heart rate and blood pressure are not typically profound, but have been reproduced in various studies.⁴⁷⁻⁵⁰ The timing and duration of these effects vary with type and amount of administration, and not all studies show consistent results.⁵¹ Other appreciable findings include slow reaction time, slurred speech, concentration difficulty, lethargy, postural hypotension, sedation, and psychosis. This is not an all-inclusive list of potential signs and symptoms.

Effect on Cognitive Performance and Brain Structure

Impact on cognitive abilities can be divided into acute and long-term effects. The impacts are mixed, but acute cannabis use can impact many aspects of cognitive function negatively.⁵² There is some evidence of residual effects, hours to days after use, but the data are not as compelling as for acute use.⁵² The same goes for extended analysis weeks after use. The time frame of cognitive impacts varies among studies, with various research examining this in different ways, making comparative evaluation difficult. The acute cognitive impacts seem to be more significant with complex

tasks.⁵³ Scott et al. found that cannabis use during adolescence and young adulthood was associated with reduced cognitive functioning.⁵⁴ A systematic review by Broyd et al. found that chronic cannabis use was associated with impaired cognition, especially in the domains of verbal learning, memory and attention.⁵⁵ These findings are further corroborated by Kroon et al.⁵⁶ Abstinence of cannabis use for 72 hours or longer has been shown to diminish the aforementioned cognitive deficits.

There is a dearth of research examining cognitive and motor functioning impact of THC within combat sports, but there is very applicable tangential research to take into consideration. A large review of the effect of cannabis on driving skills exhibited mixed findings, but showed a two-fold increase in motor vehicle accidents after acute cannabis smoking, along with deterioration of control with increased task complexity, increased lane weaving, and impaired cognitive function.⁵⁷ The same review found that divided-attention tasks, reaction times, and tracking tests all showed impairment with cannabis use. Some evidence in that review supports blood concentrations of 2-5ng/mL being associated with driving impairment. Other research has shown blood testing as likely the most effective test modality to evaluate acute cognitive impairment, although the correlation of even blood testing with acute psychoactive effects and cognitive/motor function needs tremendous expansion in understanding.⁵⁸ Another study comparing driving impairment caused by alcohol and cannabis found that both impaired driving function, but in slightly different manners.⁵⁹ This study also demonstrated that driving-related cognitive effects from cannabis occurs in a dose-dependent fashion, but with more variation than alcohol (which has a fair amount of variation on its own), likely due to differences in use techniques, individual tolerance, and greater variance of THC content in use products. The non-linear, dose-dependent impact has been verified in other non-driving contexts as well.⁶⁰ Another review highlighted the general awareness of intoxication of THC-affected individuals, in contrast to general unawareness of intoxication of alcohol-affected individuals. This has led to a misconception that

conscious carefulness by THC-affected individuals can compensate for the acute cognitive and motor effects impairment the driving activity.⁶¹ Primary literature on the topic of cognitive and motor effects on driving, not surprisingly, reflects the findings of the reviews above, notably that cannabis has negative acute effects on effectiveness and safety of cognitive and motor function necessary to drive effectively.⁶²⁻⁷⁰

There is basic physiologic research demonstrating increased cerebral blood flow following administration of THC via intravenous⁷¹ and inhalation⁷² routes. Research has suggested that changes in brain morphology arise due to prolonged exposure to cannabis, and the evaluation of these alterations in brain function following chronic cannabis use is often derived from neuroimaging studies. Abnormalities have been consistently detected in brain regions with a high density of cannabinoid receptors, such as the prefrontal regions, hippocampus, amygdala, and cerebellum, as well as overall reduced regional brain volume and increased gray matter.⁷³ Through use of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and positron emission tomography (PET), it was revealed that those commencing cannabis use prior to 17 years of age had reduced overall cortical size and percentage of gray matter volume and increased percentage of white matter volume compared to those who commence use later.⁷⁴ Structural neuroimaging studies have identified reduced gray matter in the medial-temporal, orbitofrontal, temporal pole, parahippocampal gyrus, insula, and cerebellar regions,⁷⁵⁻⁷⁷ although the evidence for significant differences between cannabis users and non-users is largely mixed.⁷⁷ Reduced white matter density among regular users,⁷⁸ dose-dependent reductions in hippocampal and amygdala volumes,⁷⁹ and shape alterations to the nucleus accumbens have also been reported.⁸⁰ There is some evidence that there may be some neuroprotection in dopaminergic neurons from CBD.⁸¹ There is some evidence of reduction of cerebral blood flow, as represented on single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) scan, in the context of chronic marijuana use.⁸² This corroborated similar findings in a slightly different patient population.⁸³

Ergogenic and ergolytic effects

Substances that result in enhanced exercise capacity or athletic performance are referred to as ergogenic, while those that hinder these functions are referred to as ergolytic.⁸⁴ There is some research available on the ergogenic/ergolytic properties of cannabis; most of this is outside the realm of combat sports but still applicable to athletics in general. The most compelling evidence for ergogenic or ergolytic potential of cannabis is for the ergolytic effect of the impaired cognitive and motor functioning; this is covered in the previous section. In a review on muscle effects of CBD, authors found multiple links in rodent studies between CBD and metabolic regulators and inflammatory pathways but didn't find an impact on anabolism. They summarized that in humans there has been some demonstration of improved muscle recovery and performance related to CBD, but with highly variable dosing.⁸⁵ Although cannabis use has become more prevalent among athletes, there isn't good human data supporting performance enhancing effects.⁸⁶ Much of the focus of discussion within athletic performance has been on the potential of cannabis to aid in recovery from athletic activity; however, this is not fully supported and is mostly conjecture at this point.¹² Some of these recovery-related issues, along with slightly better established realms like pain management, deserve further attention from future research to gauge the merit behind current practice.⁸⁶

In a study of edible THC vs placebo, THC offered no performance enhancement during participant use of a standardized cycle ergometer.⁸⁷ There have been similar findings of essentially no difference between chronic cannabis use and placebo with regard to physical performance.⁸⁸ Lack of impact on athletic performance is not a new research finding. Despite some difference between subjects with cannabis use versus no cannabis use in some physiologic variables, there was no difference in strength in one study, and actually worse strength in another, although in the latter study may have been impacted by fine motor control being worse with cannabis exposure.^{89,90}

Sleep, Pain, and Stress

On the competition stage, the smallest difference can be the difference between winning and losing. Because of this, athletes often find themselves looking for tools to help them gain a competitive edge in aspects adjacent to direct performance, notably sleep, pain modulation, and performance stress. Pain is a common experience in training for sport, especially combat sports, and can impact athletic performance if not managed. While there are no studies directly studying the effect of cannabis on pain experienced in training for athletics, nearly one-fifth of NCAA athletes who use marijuana cite its impact on pain management as a reason for its use.¹³ Marijuana has been shown to modulate both the acute pain experience in capsaicin induced pain models³⁸ as well as chronic pain in different populations,^{40,41,91} so it is plausible that some athletes may perceive a benefit when using marijuana for pain management. Sleep plays an integral role in an athlete's ability to recover and perform. Babson et al. recently reviewed the role of cannabis, cannabinoids, and sleep in several different populations.⁴² While results were mixed in non-athletic populations, beneficial mechanisms have been postulated which may be intriguing for an athlete struggling with sleep. Being able to manage anxiety and emotions is vital when it comes to performance and for overall general health. Athletes often feel a strong identity with their given sport and thus their self-image may be tied to external things, such as sporting results. The pressure to perform, along with normal stressors of everyday life, can create an environment for anxiety to proliferate. Early stage clinical studies suggest that CBD may be anxiolytic in "stress inducing" situations, primarily public speaking.¹⁷ However, one can easily extrapolate how this effect may be intriguing for an athlete dealing with anxiety and/or stress related to training, performance, or other reasons. MMA fighters who have used marijuana cite stress management as one of the reasons for its use.³⁷

Respiratory Effects

The primary route of administration for cannabis is inhalation, either by smoking or vaping, and the combustion or heating of cannabis products can produce harmful by-products that can negatively impact the respiratory system. Cannabis smoke contains many of the same carcinogens and irritants as tobacco smoke, which can increase the risk of respiratory infections. Studies that controlled for the effects of tobacco smoking found a 2.1- to 4.1-fold increased risk of developing lung cancer.⁹²

In addition to the increased risk of primary lung cancer, chronic cannabis smoking can lead to other air exchange diseases, such as chronic bronchitis and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Chronic bronchitis is characterized by coughing, mucus production, and wheezing. A systematic review of 34 studies conducted by Tetrault et al. found that cannabis smoking was associated with chronic bronchitis, with a higher risk observed with increasing frequency and duration of use.⁹³ Chronic cannabis use has also been associated with reduced lung function, particularly in heavy smokers and the effect is dose-dependent.⁹⁴ Tan et al. determined that among heavy marijuana users, the risk of developing COPD was significantly increased (adjusted OR 2.45, 95% CI 1.55–3.88). When compared to people who don't smoke marijuana or tobacco, heavy marijuana smokers and heavy tobacco smokers both experience a faster decline in FEV1.⁹⁵

Cardiovascular and Cerebrovascular Effects

Cannabis smoking has been associated with an elevation in heart rate and blood pressure immediately after use, and this effect may last for up to three hours.⁹⁶ A comprehensive review by Richards et al. demonstrated an increased risk of developing acute coronary syndrome and chronic cardiovascular disease with chronic use.⁹⁷ Among patients for treatment of myocardial infarction, marijuana users have been shown to have increased mortality.^{98,99} Tachydysrhythmias can occur with cannabis use, both natural and synthetic, including life threatening ventricular tachycardia.^{100,101} Jouanjus

et al. found a dose-dependent association between exposure to cannabis-based products and ischemic strokes. However, the mechanisms involved to explain this observation remain to be elucidated.¹⁰²

Psychiatric Effects

Chronic cannabis exposure has been associated with a range of psychiatric effects, including an increased risk of developing psychotic disorders such as schizophrenia, as well as mood disorders such as depression and anxiety. A systematic review and meta-analysis by Figueiredo et al. found that chronic cannabis use was associated with impairments in cognitive function, including attention, memory, and executive function, with deficits more pronounced in heavy users.¹⁰³ The association between cannabis use and schizophrenia has been extensively studied, with a systematic review by Patel et al. finding a causal relationship between cannabis use and an increased risk of developing schizophrenia, particularly in those who use cannabis at an early age or have a family history of schizophrenia.¹⁰⁴ Similarly, a meta-analysis by Gibbs et al. found that cannabis use was associated with an increased risk of manic symptoms in bipolar disorder.¹⁰⁵

Cancers

There appears to be an association between cannabis use and the incidence of various cancers often seen in tobacco smokers among marijuana smokers. Llewellyn et al. reported an increased rate of oral cancer in marijuana smokers.¹⁰⁶ Similarly, Aldington et al., and Zhang et al., found increased rates of lung and head/neck cancer, respectively.^{107–109} Moreover, the WHO reported data regarding an increased risk of gastrointestinal cancers, respiratory cancers, testicular cancer, and prostate and cervical cancer.¹¹⁰

Effect on the Reproductive System

Chronic cannabis use has been associated with various adverse effects on the reproductive system. These effects can occur in both males and females and can be caused by the active compounds in cannabis, such as delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol

(THC) and cannabidiol (CBD). In males, chronic cannabis use has been linked to decreased testosterone levels, reduced sperm count, and impaired sperm motility. Studies have found that THC can decrease testosterone levels by affecting the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal (HPG) axis, which regulates testosterone production. This effect may lead to a decrease in sperm count and motility, which can impair fertility. One study found that chronic cannabis use was associated with a 28% reduction in sperm concentration and a 29% reduction in total sperm count compared to non-users.¹¹¹ A systematic review by Payne et al. found that chronic cannabis use was associated with abnormalities in sperm morphology, and reduced sperm motility and viability.¹¹² The scientific literature supports some degree of effect from cannabis use on ovulation and menstruation,^{113,114} though in females, the literature is less consistent, with findings ranging from no effect to increased anovulation and suppressed reproductive hormone levels.^{115,116} The literature does not offer a strong association between prenatal cannabis exposure and long-term offspring outcomes at this time.

Regulatory Oversight of Cannabis in Combat Sports

There is no universal regulatory standard in the United States and Canada for the oversight of combat sports. Regulation varies by different state commissions in the US, provincial commissions in Canada, tribal commissions in both countries, and even municipal commissions in the province of Alberta. With dozens of different regulators each in charge of their own jurisdiction there are scattered laws and rules for combat sports and this applies to anti-doping rules and the legality of cannabis. The lack of universal standards makes it difficult to summarize all the legal ways cannabis is treated by regulators. Generally, however, cannabis is a prohibited substance and use of cannabis can bring consequences ranging from minor penalties to substantial fines and periods of suspension from competition. In some jurisdictions a bout winner can have their victory overturned due to a positive cannabis finding.

Although there is no universal standard for how cannabis is treated by combat sports regulators, the most common anti-doping standards adopted by athletic commissions are those created by the World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA). WADA keeps an ever-evolving list of prohibited methods and substances (the Prohibited List). Under the 2023 WADA Prohibited List cannabinoids, with the exception of cannabidiol, are banned.¹¹⁷ As a prohibited substance cannabinoids have three unique characteristics:

- 1) They are only banned in-competition.
- 2) They are a threshold substance meaning that if cannabinoids are detected in an athlete's sample below a specific concentration it will not be considered an anti-doping rule violation.
- 3) They are categorized as "Substances of Abuse" meaning that they attract the lowest of possible penalties among the prohibited substances.

The WADA Prohibited List gives the following definition of the in-competition time frame.¹¹⁷ "In-Competition period shall in principle be the period commencing just before midnight (at 11:59 p.m.) on the day before a Competition in which the Athlete is scheduled to participate until the end of the Competition and the Sample collection process". WADA provides a urine testing threshold of 150 ng/mL for prohibited cannabinoids in competition.¹¹⁸ A violation can occur even if cannabis is not necessarily ingested during the in-competition window but a sample is collected during this window which exceeds this specified threshold. The WADA Prohibited List notes the following as "Substances of Abuse" as "substances that are identified as such because they are frequently abused in society outside of the context of sport. The following are designated Substances of Abuse: cocaine, diamorphine (heroin), methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA/"ecstasy"), tetrahydrocannabinol (THC)."¹¹⁹

WADA provides the following more lenient punishment for anti-doping violations for "Substances of Abuse" where the Anti-Doping Rule Violation

involves a Substance of Abuse and “the Athlete can establish that any ingestion or Use occurred Out-of-Competition and was unrelated to sport performance, then the period of Ineligibility shall be three (3) months.¹²⁰ In addition, the period of Ineligibility calculated may be reduced to one (1) month if the Athlete or other Person satisfactorily completes a Substance of Abuse treatment program approved by the Anti-Doping Organization with Results Management responsibility”. Additionally, WADA allows athletes who use cannabis medically to apply for a therapeutic use exemption (“TUE”) which will exempt the athlete from an anti-doping violation even if they exceed the in-competition threshold. The following broad test must be met for an athlete to obtain a TUE for cannabis:¹²¹

- a) The Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method in question is needed to treat a diagnosed medical condition supported by relevant clinical evidence.
- b) The Therapeutic Use of the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method will not, on the balance of probabilities, produce any additional enhancement of performance beyond what might be anticipated by a return to the Athlete’s normal state of health following the treatment of the medical condition.
- c) The Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method is an indicated treatment for the medical condition, and there is no reasonable permitted therapeutic alternative.
- d) The necessity for the Use of the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method is not a consequence, wholly or in part, of the prior use.

Both in the United States and Canada there are examples of athletic commissions providing athletes with a TUE for permission to use cannabis in competition. With the ever-increasing legality of both recreational and medical cannabis use there has been a deviation from WADA standards by several athletic commissions. The trend of having

cannabis violations attract lighter penalties is also endorsed by the Association of Boxing Commissions (ABC) whose Medical Advisory Committee released a position statement in 2021 noting that a modest fine should be sufficient punishment for violations.¹²² In 2021 both Nevada and Florida removed cannabis as a banned substance.^{123,124} The same year, Kansas announced they will stop testing for cannabis in competition limiting their regulatory concern only to athletes who demonstrate signs of impairment.¹²⁵ In 2022, Colorado also removed cannabis from their list of banned substances.¹²⁶

Other jurisdictions that do not follow WADA standards are too numerous and varied to summarize easily here. Across these jurisdictions, cannabis generally is a banned substance with a broad spectrum of potential penalties. Many jurisdictions prohibit cannabis in competition but some also ban it out of competition.

Private anti-doping organizations also play a role in combat sports. There are examples of both promoters and fighters contracting with private anti-doping watchdogs to provide oversight beyond that provided by athletic commissions. Most notably the UFC had a private anti-doping contract with the United States Anti-Doping Agency (USADA) for a number of years.¹²⁷ While USADA generally follows WADA standards, their private contract with the UFC had numerous modifications of these standards. In 2021, USADA and the UFC varied their anti-doping policy to treat in-competition cannabis violations as attracting lesser penalties than other violations similar to the Substances of Abuse model created by WADA.¹²⁸ The Voluntary Anti-Doping Association (VADA) is a private organization used by many athletes. Most notably, VADA partnered with the World Boxing Council (WBC) to test various ranked and championship fighters.¹²⁹ VADA outright does not test for cannabis in their anti-doping program. Private anti-doping programs are free to adopt whatever standards they want but the above trend shows that, similar to regulatory agencies, cannabis violations are moving to be treated as less serious doping violations to outright not being tested for at all.

In summary cannabis is treated differently depending on the jurisdiction in question. Generally cannabis is a banned substance in competition. While there are examples of athletes being suspended for many years and being fined hundreds of thousands of dollars for cannabis violations,^{130,131} current trends are to reduce penalties for cannabis violations and even outright removal of cannabis from the list of prohibited substances.

Testing

Detecting and quantifying substances in the human body is a complex topic. There are multiple aspects to testing, including which body fluid to test, which testing modality to use, how to determine the threshold for qualitative testing, how to best get a quantitative measure, availability of various testing modalities, and testing standards of athletic governing bodies. The discussion of testing is important, especially when there is a recommended substance restriction of any kind, which implies a way to determine use to be able to enforce such a restriction. It is important to note that a positive qualitative test does not necessarily correlate with toxicity, clinical impairment, or degree of drug exposure. A positive result of a drug test is reported when the analyte (drug or metabolite) concentration exceeds the established reporting threshold or cutoff. The cutoff has been determined by a scientific advisory panel to distinguish drug use from environmental exposure. Testing for cannabis compounds and metabolites cannot be used in isolation to establish impairment or Intoxication.¹³² Even positive quantified results are only loosely correlated with clinical impairment from cannabinoids.

Cannabinoids can be detected in many areas of the body, but blood and urine are the two testable body fluids relevant to sporting regulation. Those fluids are readily obtainable and testable by multiple modalities. In general substances are more concentrated in urine than blood and a qualitative positive test may be reproducible for a longer time after use in urine than blood. There are many applicable testing modalities. The simplest and most widely available is immunoassay testing.¹³³ This is

the type of testing used in most rapid drug screening, both in and out of health care facilities. Enzyme-multiplied immunoassay technique (EMIT), in isolation, is typically used for qualitative screening as opposed to quantifying drug or metabolite amount in the tested fluid. Because of how this type of testing works, in general there is a great potential for false negative and false positives; this is less of an issue with cannabinoids than some drugs, but it is still possible.¹³⁴ Immunoassay testing essentially uses antibodies designed to detect a relatively specific epitope of a compound; the specificity of the test depends on how ubiquitous that epitope is.¹³² Immunoassay testing is relatively widely available, more so than the options for confirmatory testing that are often used after immunoassay screening. The amount of time between cannabinoid use and a negative EMIT urine test (often set at less than a detection limit of 20 ng/ml) can depend on amount of use, fat stores, and many other factors. In short term low amount users the time between initiation of cannabinoid abstinence and a negative EMIT test can range from a few days to a few weeks. In chronic heavy users the abstinence time to a negative EMIT test can range from days to over 2 months.¹³⁵

Confirmatory testing following EMIT, and testing that is more accurate for quantitative results, typically includes some sort of chromatography followed by mass spectrometry. Gas chromatography - mass spectrometry (GC-MS) is the most specific for natural cannabinoids, specifically delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (typically via carboxy-THC as a delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol measurable metabolite), and it is considered the gold standard. It would be unusual for EMIT to be used on its own for regulatory purposes in athletic competition. For some cannabinoids, such as nabilone, other modalities are necessary for detection, such as high-performance liquid chromatography - tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS).¹³⁶ There are hundreds of known synthetic cannabinoids with varying geographical prevalence,¹³⁷ and most commonly available immunoassays won't detect them; HPLC-MS or GC-MS will if the testing site is cataloged to identify them; this is notable because the identification calibration and cataloging often

doesn't keep up to date with the development of new synthetics.^{46,138-140}

Developing a testing threshold, or a body fluid concentration over which a governing body determines that an athlete has violated a rule regarding a restricted substance, is a difficult and imperfect process guided by both evidence and expert opinion. WADA and USADA currently set the in-competition threshold for THC (delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol via measurement of carboxy-tetrahydrocannabinol) at 150 ng/mL tested in urine by GC-MS.^{20,141} This is an increase from a prior much lower threshold. Having a regulation like this requires that organizations governed by it have access to advanced testing; this is appropriate at the highest levels of competition, but might be very difficult for resource-low organizations to apply.

Discussion

Cannabis has been used for hundreds of years, and use is increasing, both inside and outside sporting contexts. Societal approaches to this use have changed, and those changes have been reflected somewhat within sportive regulation, including combat sports regulation. There are a number of published sources discussing why cannabis-related products should remain banned in sport in the same manner it has been historically, and these sources vary from recommending banning because of the illicit nature of the various form of the drug, because of the negative acute cognitive impact, and because of the less than well-validated recovery and pain relief properties of cannabis.^{21,142-144}

Rationale for regulation of this substance must focus on the impact to the individual athletes, and the impact on the integrity of combat sports. The impact to the individual athletes includes primarily the altered risk to them within the fight as impacted by cannabis, and the risk of the cannabis itself. The impact of the integrity of the competition includes the ergogenic and ergolytic properties of cannabis, the acute impact of cannabis on

the ability to compete, and the impact of cannabis on training and recovery.

The impact on the individual athlete

- There is a compelling body of literature demonstrating worsened cognitive and motor function, especially with more complex tasks, potentially putting the athlete at risk in the context of cannabis use in close time proximity to the fight due to a reduced ability to defend oneself.
- There is a compelling body of literature demonstrating worsened cognitive and motor function, especially with more complex tasks, potentially putting the athlete at risk in the context of cannabis use in close time proximity to fight training, especially striking sparring, due to a reduced ability to defend oneself.
- There is more controversial evidence demonstrating worsened cognitive and motor function in the context of subacute cannabis use, more removed in time proximity from the fight or combat training, indicating at least a possibility of safety risk from lack of ability to defend oneself.
- There are proven chronic deleterious effects of cannabis use, including, but not limited to effects on respiratory functioning, cardiovascular functioning, psychiatric stability, reproduction, brain structure, cognitive functioning, and the likelihood of developing cancer.
- There may or may not be benefit from cannabis use to the athlete due to improved sleep.
- There may or may not be benefit from cannabis use to the athlete due to reduced emotional stress.
- There may or may not be benefit from cannabis use to the athlete due to pain perception modification; the literature supports this slightly better than it does alteration to sleep and stress.

The impact on the integrity of combat sports competition

- There is a compelling body of literature demonstrating worsened cognitive and motor function, especially with more complex tasks, potentially putting the athlete at risk in the context of cannabis use in close time proximity to the fight due to a reduced ability to defend oneself.
- The literature on ergogenic and ergolytic impact of cannabis are not particularly compelling in either direction.

From the standpoint of performance impact, acute cannabis use, in a variable dose-dependent fashion, has the potential of creating a scenario where a fighter has less ability to protect him or herself due to reduced cognitive and motor execution ability, especially with complex tasks. Fighting clearly presents a host of tasks that would be considered complex, and thus this indicates a potential safety hazard. This could compromise both the athlete and the integrity of the sport. Subacute use may or may not present similar risk, although clearly less than acute use. Ongoing chronic use may also present similar risk, given existing evidence of brain structure modification. From the standpoint of overall positive and negative health impacts there may be some positive health aspects to cannabis, although the data are mixed even with many accepted therapeutic-use applications, and there are certainly potential overall long term health risks.

Limitations and areas of exploration

The recommendation (below) based on the potential acute risk to athletes, and to a lesser extent the long term risks, is technically an enforceable ban limited to in-competition use. This is based on clinical interpretation of the on site ringside or cageside physician. Clinical evaluation of cannabis related intoxication can be difficult, and introduces gray area to regulation. However, the clinicians making this determination are experienced and fully capable of making this determination

when necessary. There are a couple of issues that need further exploration, and if understanding were expanded these aspects could bring about cleaner regulation related to testing in conjunction with clinical evaluation. If body fluid testing were developed such that the temporal relationship between cannabis use and time of testing was reliable, if the clinical effects and quantitative results were better correlated, and if accurate testing required only widely available resources, then testing-based regulation within combat sports would be more applicable.

Conclusion

Cannabis use is increasing and is an issue important in combat sports. From the standpoint of individual athlete risk and ergolytic impacts, the acute and possibly subacute cognitive and motor effects of cannabis exposure potentially increase risks of trauma during fighting in competition and training. There are underwhelming data on ergogenic impacts. The acute negative side effects of cannabis use along with the long-term effects associated with chronic use appear to outweigh the purported performance enhancing effects. It is recommended that in-competition use should be prohibited when keeping the best interest of combat sports athletes in mind, along with the integrity of the competition. This agrees with the current general athletics WADA classification. There are complex issues with this recommendation, including the variability and inconsistency of clinical evaluation of acute intoxication along with testing aspects including timing, testing modality, and violation concentration threshold.

Based on the available body of scientific evidence and the above discussion, and with the goals of promoting safety of combat sports athletes and striving for the advancement of clean sport, the Association of Ringside Physicians recommends the following regarding cannabis:

- Use of marijuana or synthetic cannabinoids by combat sports athletes is discouraged due to unproven benefits and many known adverse effects. Acute use can impair cognition

and complex motor function, which likely leads to reduced performance in combat sports. Chronic use can increase risk for heart and lung disease, several cancers, and schizophrenia, can reduce testosterone in men and impair fertility. Benefits from cannabis in most contexts, including athletic performance, have not been proven.

- Use of topical purified CBD is neither encouraged nor discouraged.
- Since acute cannabis intoxication can impair complex cognitive and motor function, any athlete suspected of acute intoxication at the time of competition—based on clinical judgment—should be banned from that competition.
- Wide-scale regulation of cannabis based on quantitative testing has limited usefulness in combat sports, for the following reasons:
 - Cannabis is not ergogenic and is likely ergolytic.
 - Concentrations in body fluids correlate poorly with clinical effects and timing of use.
 - Access to testing resources varies widely across sporting organizations.

References

1. Ren M, Tang Z, Wu X, *et al.* The origins of cannabis smoking: Chemical residue evidence from the first millennium BCE in the Pamirs. *Sci Adv.* 2019;5:eaaw1391.
2. Nelson LS, Hoffman RS, Howland MA, *et al.* *Goldfrank's toxicologic emergencies.* McGraw Hill Professional 2018.
3. Zuardi AW. History of cannabis as a medicine: a review. *Braz J Psychiatry.* 2006;28:153–7.
4. Russo EB. History of cannabis and its preparations in saga, science, and sobriquet. *Chem Biodivers.* 2007;4:1614–48.
5. ElSohly MA, Radwan MM, Gul W, *et al.* Phytochemistry of Cannabis sativa L. In: Kinghorn AD, Falk H, Gibbons S, *et al.*, eds. *Phytocannabinoids: Unraveling the Complex Chemistry and Pharmacology of Cannabis sativa.* Cham: Springer International Publishing 2017:1–36.
6. Zou S, Kumar U. Cannabinoid Receptors and the Endocannabinoid System: Signaling and Function in the Central Nervous System. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2018;19. doi: 10.3390/ijms19030833.
7. Khalsa JH, Bunt G, Blum K, *et al.* Review: Cannabinoids as Medicinals. *Curr Addict Rep.* 2022;9:630–46.
8. Baselt RC, editor. *Disposition of Toxic Drugs and Chemicals in Man.* Biomedical Publications 2020.
9. Brisola-Santos MB, Gallinaro JG de ME, Gil F, *et al.* Prevalence and correlates of cannabis use among athletes-A systematic review. *Am J Addict.* 2016;25:518–28.
10. Annual prevalence of the use of drugs by region and globally. https://dataunodc.un.org/drugs/prevalence_regional-2017 (accessed 25 March 2023).
11. Ashton CH. Pharmacology and effects of cannabis: a brief review. *Br J Psychiatry.* 2001;178:101–6.
12. Burr JF, Cheung CP, Kasper AM, *et al.* Cannabis and Athletic Performance. *Sports Med.* 2021;51:75–87.
13. NCAA Student-athlete substance use study. NCAA.org. <https://www.ncaa.org/sports/2013/11/20/ncaa-student-athlete-substance-use-study.aspx> (accessed 25 March 2023)
14. Lorente FO, Peretti-Watel P, Grelot L. Cannabis use to enhance sportive and non-sportive performances among French sport students. *Addict Behav.* 2005;30:1382–91.
15. Kasper AM, Sparks SA, Hooks M, *et al.* High Prevalence of Cannabidiol Use Within Male Professional Rugby Union and League Players: A Quest for Pain Relief and Enhanced Recovery. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab.* 2020;30:315–22.
16. Maurer GE, Mathews NM, Schleich KT, *et al.* Understanding Cannabis-Based Therapeutics in Sports Medicine. *Sports Health.* 2020;12:540–6.
17. McCartney D, Benson MJ, Desbrow B, *et al.* Cannabidiol and Sports Performance: a Narrative Review of Relevant Evidence and Recommendations for Future Research. *Sports Med Open.* 2020;6:27.
18. Kennedy MC. Cannabis: Exercise performance and sport. A systematic review. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2017;20:825–9.
19. Heuberger JAAC, Cohen AF. Review of WADA Prohibited Substances: Limited Evidence for Performance-Enhancing Effects. *Sports Med.* 2019;49:525–39.
20. Raising the game for clean sport. World Anti Doping Agency. <https://www.wada-ama.org/en> (accessed 25 March 2023).

21. Huestis MA, Mazzoni I, Rabin O. Cannabis in sport: anti-doping perspective. *Sports Med.* 2011;41:949–66.
22. Docter S, Khan M, Gohal C, *et al.* Cannabis Use and Sport: A Systematic Review. *Sports Health.* 2020;12:189–99.
23. Diehl K, Thiel A, Zipfel S, *et al.* Substance use among elite adolescent athletes: findings from the GOAL Study. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2014;24:250–8.
24. Aguilar-Navarro M, Salinero JJ, Muñoz-Guerra J, *et al.* Sport-Specific Use of Doping Substances: Analysis of World Anti-Doping Agency Doping Control Tests between 2014 and 2017. *Subst Use Misuse.* 2020;55:1361–9.
25. Fares MY, Baydoun H, Salhab HA, *et al.* Doping in the Ultimate Fighting Championship (UFC): A 4-year epidemiological analysis. *Drug Test Anal.* 2021;13:785–93.
26. Pokrywka A, Obmiński Z, Kwiatkowska D, *et al.* Cannabinoids cases in polish athletes. *Biol Sport.* 2009;26:119–35.
27. Thevis M, Sauer M, Geyer H, *et al.* Determination of the prevalence of anabolic steroids, stimulants, and selected drugs subject to doping controls among elite sport students using analytical chemistry. *J Sports Sci.* 2008;26:1059–65.
28. Office of the Commissioner. FDA and Cannabis: Research and Drug Approval Process. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. <https://www.fda.gov/news-events/public-health-focus/fda-and-cannabis-research-and-drug-approval-process> (accessed 27 March 2023)
29. Todaro B. Cannabinoids in the treatment of chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw.* 2012;10:487–92.
30. Beal JE, Olson R, Laubenstein L, *et al.* Dronabinol as a treatment for anorexia associated with weight loss in patients with AIDS. *J Pain Symptom Manage.* 1995;10:89–97.
31. Upadhya D, Castro OW, Upadhya R, *et al.* Prospects of Cannabidiol for Easing Status Epilepticus-Induced Epileptogenesis and Related Comorbidities. *Mol Neurobiol.* 2018;55:6956–64.
32. Fusar-Poli L, Cavone V, Tinacci S, *et al.* Cannabinoids for People with ASD: A Systematic Review of Published and Ongoing Studies. *Brain Sci.* 2020;10. doi: 10.3390/brainsci10090572.
33. Deiana S. Medical use of cannabis. Cannabidiol: a new light for schizophrenia? *Drug Test Anal.* 2013;5:46–51.
34. Blessing EM, Steenkamp MM, Manzanares J, *et al.* Cannabidiol as a Potential Treatment for Anxiety Disorders. *Neurotherapeutics.* 2015;12:825–36.
35. Watt G, Karl T. In vivo Evidence for Therapeutic Properties of Cannabidiol (CBD) for Alzheimer’s Disease. *Front Pharmacol.* 2017;8:20.
36. Chagas MHN, Zuardi AW, Tumas V, *et al.* Effects of cannabidiol in the treatment of patients with Parkinson’s disease: an exploratory double-blind trial. *J Psychopharmacol.* 2014;28:1088–98.
37. Woolf J (jules) R, Yoon H (violet), Perkari K. Fighting and doping: Professional mixed martial artists experience and exposure to performance-enhancing substances and supplements. *Performance Enhancement & Health.* 2021;9:100190.
38. Wallace M, Schulteis G, Atkinson JH, *et al.* Dose-dependent effects of smoked cannabis on capsaicin-induced pain and hyperalgesia in healthy volunteers. *Anesthesiology.* 2007;107:785–96.
39. Lynch ME, Campbell F. Cannabinoids for treatment of chronic non-cancer pain; a systematic review of randomized trials. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2011;72:735–44.
40. Nielsen S, Sabioni P, Trigo JM, *et al.* Opioid-Sparing Effect of Cannabinoids: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2017;42:1752–65. <https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2017.51>.
41. Whiting PF, Wolff RE, Deshpande S, *et al.* Cannabinoids for Medical Use: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA.* 2015;313:2456–73.
42. Babson KA, Sottile J, Morabito D. Cannabis, Cannabinoids, and Sleep: a Review of the Literature. *Curr Psychiatry Rep.* 2017;19:23.
43. Booz GW. Cannabidiol as an emergent therapeutic strategy for lessening the impact of inflammation on oxidative stress. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 2011;51:1054–61.
44. Naik H, Trojian TH. Therapeutic Potential for Cannabinoids in Sports Medicine: Current Literature Review. *Curr Sports Med Rep.* 2021;20:345–50.
45. Felder CC, Glass M. Cannabinoid receptors and their endogenous agonists. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol.* 1998;38:179–200.
46. Grigoryev A, Savchuk S, Melnik A, *et al.* Chromatography–mass spectrometry studies on the metabolism of synthetic cannabinoids JWH-018 and JWH-073, psychoactive components of smoking mixtures. *Journal of Chromatography B.* 2011;879:1126–36.
47. Beaconsfield P, Ginsburg J, Rainsbury R. Marijuana smoking. Cardiovascular effects in man and possible mechanisms. *N Engl J Med.* 1972;287:209–12.
48. Jones RT. Cardiovascular system effects of marijuana. *J Clin Pharmacol.* 2002;42:58S – 63S.

49. Prakash R, Aronow WS, Warren M, *et al.* Effects of marihuana and placebo marihuana smoking on hemodynamics in coronary disease. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 1975;18:90–5.
50. Sidney S. Cardiovascular consequences of marijuana use. *J Clin Pharmacol.* 2002;42:64S – 70S.
51. Benowitz NL, Jones RT. Cardiovascular effects of prolonged delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol ingestion. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 1975;18:287–97.
52. Crean RD, Crane NA, Mason BJ. An evidence based review of acute and long-term effects of cannabis use on executive cognitive functions. *J Addict Med.* 2011;5:1–8.
53. Kvålseth TO. Effects of marijuana on human reaction time and motor control. *Percept Mot Skills.* 1977;45:935–9.
54. Scott JC, Slomiak ST, Jones JD, *et al.* Association of Cannabis With Cognitive Functioning in Adolescents and Young Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry.* 2018;75:585–95.
55. Broyd SJ, van Hell HH, Beale C, *et al.* Acute and Chronic Effects of Cannabinoids on Human Cognition-A Systematic Review. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2016;79:557–67.
56. Kroon E, Kuhns L, Cousijn J. The short-term and long-term effects of cannabis on cognition: recent advances in the field. *Curr Opin Psychol.* 2021;38:49–55.
57. Hartman RL, Huestis MA. Cannabis effects on driving skills. *Clin Chem.* 2013;59:478–92.
58. Bondallaz P, Favrat B, Chtioui H, *et al.* Cannabis and its effects on driving skills. *Forensic Sci Int.* 2016;268:92–102.
59. Sewell RA, Poling J, Sofuoglu M. The effect of cannabis compared with alcohol on driving. *Am J Addict.* 2009;18:185–93.
60. Kiplinger GF, Manno JE, Rodda BE, *et al.* Dose-response analysis of the effects of tetrahydrocannabinol in man. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 1971;12:650–7.
61. Pearlson GD, Stevens MC, D’Souza DC. Cannabis and Driving. *Front Psychiatry.* 2021;12:689444.
62. Bédard M, Dubois S, Weaver B. The impact of cannabis on driving. *Can J Public Health.* 2007;98:6–11.
63. Salomonsen-Sautel S, Min S-J, Sakai JT, *et al.* Trends in fatal motor vehicle crashes before and after marijuana commercialization in Colorado. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2014;140:137–44.
64. Couper FJ, Peterson BL. The prevalence of marijuana in suspected impaired driving cases in Washington state. *J Anal Toxicol.* 2014;38:569–74.
65. Rogeberg O, Elvik R. The effects of cannabis intoxication on motor vehicle collision revisited and revised. *Addiction.* 2016;111:1348–59.
66. Rogeberg O. A meta-analysis of the crash risk of cannabis-positive drivers in culpability studies-Avoiding interpretational bias. *Accid Anal Prev.* 2019;123:69–78.
67. Kamer RS, Warshafsky S, Kamer GC. Change in Traffic Fatality Rates in the First 4 States to Legalize Recreational Marijuana. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2020;180:1119–20.
68. Casswell S, Marks D. Cannabis induced impairment of performance of a divided attention task. *Nature.* 1973;241:60–1.
69. Moskowitz H, Hulbert S, Mcglathlin WH. MARIHUANA: EFFECTS ON SIMULATED DRIVING PERFORMANCE††This work was supported by the National High way Traffic Safety Administration, the National Institute on Drug Abuse, And the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. Dr. A. Ahumada, University of California, Irvine, aided in the Statistical analysis, and the computer processing was performed by the Health Sciences Computing Facility and the Campus Computing Network, UCLA. In: Moskowitz H, ed. *Drugs and Driving.* Pergamon 1976:45–50.
70. Klonoff H. Marijuana and driving in real-life situations. *Science.* 1974;186:317–24.
71. Mathew RJ, Wilson WH, Turkington TG, *et al.* Time course of tetrahydrocannabinol-induced changes in regional cerebral blood flow measured with positron emission tomography. *Psychiatry Res.* 2002;116:173–85.
72. O’Leary DS, Block RI, Koeppl JA, *et al.* Effects of smoking marijuana on brain perfusion and cognition. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2002;26:802–16.
73. Lorenzetti V, Solowij N, Yücel M. The Role of Cannabinoids in Neuroanatomic Alterations in Cannabis Users. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2016;79:e17–31.
74. Wilson W, Mathew R, Turkington T, *et al.* Brain morphological changes and early marijuana use: a magnetic resonance and positron emission tomography study. *J Addict Dis.* 2000;19:1–22.
75. Battistella G, Fornari E, Annoni J-M, *et al.* Long-term effects of cannabis on brain structure. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2014;39:2041–8.
76. Batalla A, Bhattacharyya S, Yücel M, *et al.* Structural and functional imaging studies in chronic cannabis users: a systematic review of adolescent and adult findings. *PLoS One.* 2013;8:e55821.

77. Lorenzetti V, Solowij N, Fornito A, *et al.* The association between regular cannabis exposure and alterations of human brain morphology: an updated review of the literature. *Curr Pharm Des.* 2014;20:2138–67.
78. Zalesky A, Solowij N, Yücel M, *et al.* Effect of long-term cannabis use on axonal fibre connectivity. *Brain.* 2012;135:2245–55.
79. Yücel M, Solowij N, Respondek C, *et al.* Regional brain abnormalities associated with long-term heavy cannabis use. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2008;65:694–701.
80. Gilman JM, Kuster JK, Lee S, *et al.* Cannabis use is quantitatively associated with nucleus accumbens and amygdala abnormalities in young adult recreational users. *J Neurosci.* 2014;34:5529–38.
81. da Cruz Guedes E, Erustes AG, Leão AHFF, *et al.* Cannabidiol Recovers Dopaminergic Neuronal Damage Induced by Reserpine or α -synuclein in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Neurochem Res.* Published Online First: 25 March 2023. doi: 10.1007/s11064-023-03905-z.
82. Amen DG, Darmal B, Raji CA, *et al.* Discriminative Properties of Hippocampal Hypoperfusion in Marijuana Users Compared to Healthy Controls: Implications for Marijuana Administration in Alzheimer's Dementia. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 2017;56:261–73.
83. Amen DG, Waugh M. High resolution brain SPECT imaging of marijuana smokers with AD/HD. *J Psychoactive Drugs.* 1998;30:209–14.
84. Eichner ER. Ergolytic drugs in medicine and sports. *Am J Med.* 1993;94:205–11.
85. Schouten M, Dalle S, Koppo K. Molecular Mechanisms Through Which Cannabidiol May Affect Skeletal Muscle Metabolism, Inflammation, Tissue Regeneration, and Anabolism: A Narrative Review. *Cannabis Cannabinoid Res.* 2022;7:745–57.
86. Ware MA, Jensen D, Barrette A, *et al.* Cannabis and the Health and Performance of the Elite Athlete. *Clin J Sport Med.* 2018;28:480–4.
87. Ewell TR, Bomar MC, Abbotts KSS, *et al.* Edible marijuana and cycle ergometer exercise. *Front Physiol.* 2022;13:1085822.
88. Kramer A, Sinclair J, Sharpe L, *et al.* Chronic cannabis consumption and physical exercise performance in healthy adults: a systematic review. *J Cannabis Res.* 2020;2:34.
89. Steadward RD, Singh M. The effects of smoking marijuana on physical performance. *Med Sci Sports.* 1975;7:309–11.
90. Hollister LE. Marijuana in man: three years later. *Science.* 1971;172:21–9.
91. Lynch ME, Craig KD, Peng PH. *Clinical Pain Management: A Practical Guide.* John Wiley & Sons 2022.
92. Martinasek MP, McGrogan JB, Maysonet A. A Systematic Review of the Respiratory Effects of Inhalational Marijuana. *Respir Care.* 2016;61:1543–51.
93. Tetrault JM, Crothers K, Moore BA, *et al.* Effects of marijuana smoking on pulmonary function and respiratory complications: a systematic review. *Arch Intern Med.* 2007;167:221–8.
94. Pletcher MJ, Vittinghoff E, Kalhan R, *et al.* Association between marijuana exposure and pulmonary function over 20 years. *JAMA.* 2012;307:173–81.
95. Tan WC, Bourbeau J, Aaron SD, *et al.* The effects of marijuana smoking on lung function in older people. *Eur Respir J.* 2019;54. doi: 10.1183/13993003.00826-2019.
96. Yang PK, Odom EC, Patel R, *et al.* Nonmedical Marijuana Use and Cardiovascular Events: A Systematic Review. *Public Health Rep.* 2022;137:62–71.
97. Richards JR, Bing ML, Moulin AK, *et al.* Cannabis use and acute coronary syndrome. *Clin Toxicol.* 2019;57:831–41.
98. Mittleman MA, Lewis RA, Maclure M, *et al.* Triggering myocardial infarction by marijuana. *Circulation.* 2001;103:2805–9.
99. Mukamal KJ, Maclure M, Muller JE, *et al.* An exploratory prospective study of marijuana use and mortality following acute myocardial infarction. *Am Heart J.* 2008;155:465–70.
100. Lapoint J, James LP, Moran CL, *et al.* Severe toxicity following synthetic cannabinoid ingestion. *Clin Toxicol.* 2011;49:760–4.
101. Rezkalla SH, Sharma P, Kloner RA. Coronary no-flow and ventricular tachycardia associated with habitual marijuana use. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2003;42:365–9.
102. Jouanjus E, Raymond V, Lapeyre-Mestre M, *et al.* What is the Current Knowledge About the Cardiovascular Risk for Users of Cannabis-Based Products? A Systematic Review. *Curr Atheroscler Rep.* 2017;19:26.
103. Figueiredo PR, Tolomeo S, Steele JD, *et al.* Neurocognitive consequences of chronic cannabis use: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2020;108:358–69.
104. Patel S, Khan S, M S, *et al.* The association between cannabis use and schizophrenia: Causative or curative? A systematic review. *Cureus.* 2020;12:e9309.

105. Gibbs M, Winsper C, Marwaha S, *et al.* Cannabis use and mania symptoms: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Affect Disord.* 2015;171:39–47.
106. Llewellyn CD, Linklater K, Bell J, *et al.* An analysis of risk factors for oral cancer in young people: a case-control study. *Oral Oncol.* 2004;40:304–13.
107. Aldington S, Harwood M, Cox B, *et al.* Cannabis use and risk of lung cancer: a case-control study. *Eur Respir J.* 2008;31:280–6.
108. Brambilla C, Colonna M, Beasley R, *et al.* Cannabis use and risk of lung cancer : a case-control study. Commentary. *Eur Respir J.* 2008;31.
109. Zhang ZF, Morgenstern H, Spitz MR, *et al.* Marijuana use and increased risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 1999;8:1071–8.
110. World Health Organization. *Health and Social Effects of Nonmedical Cannabis Use (The)*. World Health Organization 2016.
111. Gundersen TD, Jørgensen N, Andersson A-M, *et al.* Association Between Use of Marijuana and Male Reproductive Hormones and Semen Quality: A Study Among 1,215 Healthy Young Men. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2015;182:473–81.
112. Payne KS, Mazur DJ, Hotaling JM, *et al.* Cannabis and Male Fertility: A Systematic Review. *J Urol.* 2019;202:674–81.
113. Ryan KS, Mahalingaiah S, Campbell LR, *et al.* The effects of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol exposure on female menstrual cyclicity and reproductive health in rhesus macaques. *FS Sci.* 2021;2:287–94.
114. Asch RH, Smith CG, Siler-Khodr TM, *et al.* Effects of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol during the follicular phase of the rhesus monkey (*Macaca mulatta*). *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1981;52:50–5.
115. Brents LK. Marijuana, the Endocannabinoid System and the Female Reproductive System. *Yale J Biol Med.* 2016;89:175–91.
116. Wise LA, Wesselink AK, Hatch EE, *et al.* Marijuana use and fecundability in a North American preconception cohort study. *J Epidemiol Community Health.* 2018;72:208–15.
117. 2023 Prohibited List. World Anti Doping Agency. <https://www.wada-ama.org/en/resources/world-anti-doping-program/2023-prohibited-list> (accessed 23 February 2023).
118. Substances P. WADA Modifications.
119. WADA2023ProhibList.pdf. https://www.wada-ama.org/sites/default/files/2022-09/2023list_en_final_9-september_2022.pdf.
120. WADA_SubstancesOfAbuse.pdf. https://www.wada-ama.org/sites/default/files/resources/files/2020-01-11_guidance_note_on_substances_of_abuse_en_0.pdf.
121. Guidelines for the international standard for therapeutic use exemptions (ISTUE). World Anti Doping Agency. <https://www.wada-ama.org/en/resources/world-anti-doping-program/guidelines-international-standard-therapeutic-use-exemptions> (accessed 23 February 2023).
122. Kirik. ABC committee issues new cannabis advisory. MMA Underground. 2021. <https://www.mixedmartialarts.com/editorial/abc-committee-issues-new-cannabis-advisory> (accessed 23 February 2023).
123. Herrington AJ. Florida Ends Marijuana Testing For Boxers And MMA Fighters. *Forbes Magazine.* 2021. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/ajherrington/2021/05/05/florida-ends-marijuana-testing-for-boxers-and-mma-fighters/> (accessed 23 February 2023).
124. Raimondi M. Nevada State Athletic Commission votes not to discipline boxers, MMA fighters for marijuana use. ESPN. 2021. https://espn.com/mma/story/_/id/31777158/the- (accessed 23 February 2023).
125. Magraken E. Kansas joins Nevada in removing Cannabis as PED. MMA Underground. 2021. <https://www.mixedmartialarts.com/news/kansas-removing-cannabis-as-ped> (accessed 23 February 2023).
126. EMagraken. Colorado removes cannabis from banned substances, approves 3 minute rounds for women’s boxing and more. *Combat Sports Law.* 2022. <https://combatsportslaw.com/2022/10/12/colorado-removes-cannabis-from-banned-substances-approves-3-minute-rounds-for-womens-boxing-and-more/> (accessed 23 February 2023).
127. Infront G. Home. UFC Anti-Doping Program. 2019. <https://ufc.usada.org/> (accessed 23 February 2023).
128. Martin D. UFC, USADA make significant rule change regarding marijuana use for athletes under anti-doping program. MMA Fighting. 2021. <https://www.mmafighting.com/2021/1/14/22230502/ufc-usada-significant-rule-change-marijuana-thc-use-under-updated-anti-doping-program-rules> (accessed 23 February 2023).
129. Vada. The WBC clean boxing program. VADA. 2019. <https://vada-testing.org/2019/04/21/the-wbc-clean-boxing-program/> (accessed 23 February 2023).

130. Okamoto B. Nick Diaz suspended 5 years by Nevada commission for marijuana. ABC News. 2015. <https://abcnews.go.com/Sports/nick-diaz-suspended-years-nevada-commission-marijuana/story?id=33758015> (accessed 23 February 2023)
131. Rafael D. Julio Cesar Chavez Jr. suspended nine months, fined \$900,000 by Nevada State Athletic Commission for failed drug test. ESPN. 2013. http://www.espn.com/boxing/story/_/id/8999557 (accessed 23 February 2023)
132. Connors N, Kosnett MJ, Kulig K, *et al.* ACMT Position Statement: Interpretation of Urine for Tetrahydrocannabinol Metabolites. *J Med Toxicol.* 2020;16:240–2.
133. Nelson ZJ, Stellpflug SJ, Engebretsen KM. What Can a Urine Drug Screening Immunoassay Really Tell Us? *J Pharm Pract.* 2016;29:516–26.
134. Stellpflug SJ, Cole JB, Greller HA. Urine Drug Screens in the Emergency Department: The Best Test May Be No Test at All. *J Emerg Nurs.* 2020;46:923–31.
135. Ellis GM Jr, Mann MA, Judson BA, *et al.* Excretion patterns of cannabinoid metabolites after last use in a group of chronic users. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 1985;38:572–8.
136. Schwartz RH, Hawks RL. Laboratory detection of marijuana use. *JAMA.* 1985;254:788–92.
137. Nflis-drug. <https://www.nflis.deadiversion.usdoj.gov/drug.xhtml> (accessed 31 March 2024).
138. Kacinko SL, Xu A, Homan JW, *et al.* Development and validation of a liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry method for the identification and quantification of JWH-018, JWH-073, JWH-019, and JWH-250 in human whole blood. *J Anal Toxicol.* 2011;35:386–93.
139. Moran CL, Le V-H, Chimalakonda KC, *et al.* Quantitative measurement of JWH-018 and JWH-073 metabolites excreted in human urine. *Anal Chem.* 2011;83:4228–36.
140. Teske J, Weller J-P, Fieguth A, *et al.* Sensitive and rapid quantification of the cannabinoid receptor agonist naphthalen-1-yl-(1-pentylindol-3-yl)methanone (JWH-018) in human serum by liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography B.* 2010;878:2659–63.
141. Infront G. Marijuana FAQ. U.S. Anti-Doping Agency (USADA). 2019. <https://www.usada.org/athletes/substances/marijuana-faq/> (accessed 21 March 2024).
142. Bergamaschi MM, Crippa JAS. Why should Cannabis be Considered Doping in Sports? *Front Psychiatry.* 2013;4:32.
143. Hilderbrand RL. High-performance sport, marijuana, and cannabimimetics. *J Anal Toxicol.* 2011;35:624–37.
144. Campos DR, Yonamine M, de Moraes Moreau RL. Marijuana as doping in sports. *Sports Med.* 2003;33:395–9.